**ELECTION TO FELLOWSHIP | ELECTION CYCLE 2019/20**

**Nomination Form**

**To be completed and submitted by the Lead Proposer**

**The contents of the completed nomination form must be treated in strict confidence by the Lead Proposer and Seconding Proposer and must not be revealed to the Nominee.**

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Title, full name and post-nominal honorifics of Nominee** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **2. Lead Proposer** |
| **Name:**  |
| **Signature:** |
| **Date:**  |

|  |
| --- |
| **3. In what capacity do you (Lead Proposer) know the Nominee? (Please place an ‘X’ in all relevant boxes)** |
| **Personally** | **Professionally** | **By reputation** |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **4. Seconding Proposer** |
| **Name:**  |
| **Signature:** |
| **Date:**  |

|  |
| --- |
| **5. In what capacity do you (Seconding Proposer) know the Nominee? (Please place an ‘X’ in all relevant boxes)** |
| **Personally** | **Professionally** | **By reputation** |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| 6. Informed Supporter |
| It is the responsibility of the Lead Proposer in submitting a nomination to include a report prepared by an Informed Supporter. This should be someone who knows the Nominee well; they may, for example, have worked with them as co-author or co-investigator. Please set out the details of this supporter and a brief rationale for your choice below. The Lead Proposer should invite the supporter to complete the [Informed Supporter Report Form](https://www.learnedsociety.wales/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/Informed-Supporter-Report-Form-19-20.docx) and submit that reference with this nomination. Both reference and nomination must be provided in confidence and must not be revealed to the Nominee. |
| Name |  |
| Institution |  |
| Email |  |
| Rationale |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **7. Suggested Assessors** |
| The Lead Proposer is invited to suggest the names of up to three independent assessors. One of these may be selected by the Scrutiny Committee assessing the nomination. The Lead Proposer **must NOT contact the suggested assessors**, as all suggestions will be assessed against the Society’s current guidelines on conflicts of interest, and taken up at the discretion of the relevant Scrutiny Committee Chair. The final choice of assessors will be for the Chair. See [LSW-Guidance-Election-Process-19-20](https://www.learnedsociety.wales/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/LSW-Guidance-Election-Process-19-20.docx) |
| **Assessor Name** | **Institution (if applicable)** |
| 1.  |  |
| 2.  |  |
| 3.  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **8. Which Scrutiny Committee best suits the Nominee’s area of activity?**  |
| Scrutiny Committee | Select **ONE** only |
| **A1** | Medicine and Medical Sciences | [ ]  |
| **A2** | Cellular, Molecular, Evolutionary, Organismal and Ecosystem Sciences | [ ]  |
| **A3** | Chemistry, Physics, Astronomy and Earth Sciences | [ ]  |
| **A4** | Computing, Mathematics and Statistics | [ ]  |
| **A5** | Engineering | [ ]  |
| **B1** | Language, Literature and the history and theory of the Creative and Performing Arts | [ ]  |
| **B2** | History, Philosophy and Theology | [ ]  |
| **B3** | Economic and Social Sciences, Education and Law | [ ]  |
| **C1** | Business, Public Service and Public Engagement | [ ]  |

|  |
| --- |
| **9. Proposer’s Response to the Nominee’s Statement (150 words maximum)** |
| This statement by the Proposer should respond to the Nominee’s statement made in their Summary CV. Please refer explicitly to the Summary CV and make specific reference to the benchmarks against which the nomination is to be evaluated. See [LSW-Guidance-Election-Criteria-and-Benchmarks-19-20](https://www.learnedsociety.wales/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/LSW-Guidance-Election-Criteria-and-Benchmarks-19-20.docx) |
|  |